

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	io.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/790,791		03/03/2004	Hyeong-Min Ahn	103-1004	4385	
38209	7590	03/27/2006		EXAMINER		
		KIM, LLP	MARTINEZ, JOSEPH P			
919 1811 SUITE 4	i STREET 40	, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHIN	IGTON, I	OC 20006	2873			
			DATE MAILED: 03/27/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			10/790,791		AHN ET AL.					
			Examiner		Art Unit					
			Joseph P. Ma		2873					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appe	ears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com p period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATES of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, c	TE OF THIS (5(a). In no event, has a significant of the significant o	COMMUNICATION owever, may a reply be tim oire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•				
Status										
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .								
-	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.									
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit									
, —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositi	on of Claims									
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.									
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> are subject to restrict	ion and/or ele	lection require	ement.						
Applicati	on Papers									
9)[The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.	•							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: а) 🗌 ассер	pted or b) ☐ o	objected to by the E	xaminer.					
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the dr	rawing(s) be he	eld in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Exa	aminer. Note t	he attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					·				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 										
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 									
	application from the Internation		-			,				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
Attachmen	t(s)									
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4)	Interview Summary						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		5)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa		O-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:										

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-10, drawn to an optical system with control of an image surface, classified in class 359, subclass 290.
- II. Claims 11-27, drawn to an optical system wherein the image surface of the lens is inclined with respect to an optical axis, classified in class 359, subclass 822.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as products which share a disclosed common utility linked to a substantial structural feature. The products in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the products encompass embodiments that are NOT required to perform the common utility or (2) that the products as claimed encompass embodiments that are NOT required to have the substantial structural feature. In this case, the products as claimed encompass embodiments that are NOT required to control the image producing surface with respect to the lens, as evidenced by claims 11 and 16.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Due to the complexity of the election/restriction, no telephone call was made to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,791 Page 3

Art Unit: 2873

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2873

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph P. Martinez whose telephone number is 571-272-2335. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00 AM to 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Mack can be reached on 571-272-2333. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JPM 3-18-06 Hughand